Normalization of Hamiltonian in the Generalized Photogravitaional Restricted Three Body Problem with Poynting-Robertson Drag

B.S.Kushvah[1], J.P. Sharma[2] and B.Ishwar[3]

1. JRF DST Project, 2. Co-P.I DST Project and 3. P.I. DST Project
University Department of Mathematics,
B.R.A. Bihar University Muzaffarpur-842001, Bihar (India)
Email:ishwar_ bhola@hotmail.com, bskush@hotmail.com

February 2, 2008

Abstract

We have performed normalization of Hamiltonian in the generalized photogravitational restricted three body problem with Poynting-Robertson drag. In this problem we have taken bigger primary as source of radiation and smaller primary as an oblate spheroid. Wittaker method is used to transform the second order part of the Hamiltonian into the normal form.

Keywords: Normalization / Generalised Photogravitational/ RTBP/P-R Drag.

AMS Classification:70F15

1 Introduction

The restricted three body problem describes the motion of an infinitesimal mass moving under the gravitational effect of the two finite masses, called primaries, which move in circular orbits around their centre of mass on account of their mutual attraction and the infinitesimal mass not influencing the motion of the primaries. The classical restricted three body problem is generalized to include the force of radiation pressure, the Poynting-Robertson effect and oblateness effect.

J. H. Poynting (1903) considered the effect of the absorption and subsequent re-emission of sunlight by small isolated particles in the solar system. His work was later modified by H. P. Robertson (1937) who used a precise relativistic treatments of the first order in the ratio of he velocity of the particle to that of light.

The effect of radiation pressure and P-R. drag in the restricted three body problem has been studied by Colombo *et al.* (1966), Chernikov Yu. A. (1970) and Schuerman (1980) who discussed the position as well as the stability of the Lagrangian equilibrium points when radiation pressure, P-R drag force are included. Murray C. D. (1994) systematically discussed the dynamical effect of general drag in the planar circular restricted three body problem, Liou J. C. *et al.* (1995) examined the effect of radiation pressure, P-R drag and solar wind drag in the restricted three body problem.

Moser's conditions (1962), Arnold's theorem (1961) and Liapunov's theorem (1956) played a significant role in deciding the nonlinear stability of an equilibrium point. Applying Arnold's theorem (1961), Leontovic (1962) examined the nonlinear stability of triangular points. Moser gave some modifications in Arnold's theorem. Then Deprit and Deprit (1967) investigated the nonlinear stability of triangular points by applying Moser's modified version of Arnold's theorem (1961).

Bhatnagar and Hallan (1983) studied the effect of perturbations on the nonlinear stability of triangular points. Maciejewski and Gozdziewski (1991) described the normalization algorithms of Hamiltonian near

an equilibrium point. Niedzielska (1994) investigated the nonlinear stability of the libration points in the photogravitational restricted three body problem. Mishra P. and Ishwar B.(1995) studied second order normalization in the generalized restricted problem of three bodies, smaller primary being an oblate spheroid. Ishwar B.(1997) studied nonlinear stability in the generalized restricted three body problem.

In this paper normalization of Hamiltonian is performed in the generalized photogravitaional restricted three body problem with Poynting-Robertson drag. Wittaker method is used to transform the second order part of the Hamiltonian into the normal form.

2   Location of Triangular Equilibrium Points

Equations of motions are

$$\ddot{x} - 2n\dot{y} = U_x, \quad \text{where,} \quad U_x = \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial x} - \frac{W_1 N_1}{r_1^2} \tag{1}$$

$$\ddot{y} + 2n\dot{x} = U_y, \qquad\qquad U_y = \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial y} - \frac{W_1 N_2}{r_1^2} \tag{2}$$

$$U_1 = \frac{n^2(x^2 + y^2)}{2} + \frac{(1-\mu)q_1}{r_1} + \frac{\mu}{r_2} + \frac{\mu A_2}{2r_2^3} \tag{3}$$

$$r_1^2 = (x+\mu)^2 + y^2, \quad r_2^2 = (x+\mu-1)^2 + y^2, \quad n^2 = 1 + \frac{3}{2}A_2,$$

$$N_1 = \frac{(x+\mu)[(x+\mu)\dot{x} + y\dot{y}]}{r_1^2} + \dot{x} - ny, \quad N_2 = \frac{y[(x+\mu)\dot{x} + y\dot{y}]}{r_1^2} + \dot{y} + n(x+\mu)$$

$W_1 = \frac{(1-\mu)(1-q_1)}{c_d}$, $\mu = \frac{m_2}{m_1+m_2} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, m_1, m_2 be the masses of the primaries, $A_2 = \frac{r_e^2 - r_p^2}{5r^2}$ be the oblateness coefficient, r_e andr_p be the equatorial and polar radii respectively r be the distance between primaries, $q = \left(1 - \frac{F_p}{F_g}\right)$ be the mass reduction factor expressed in terms of the particle's radius a, density ρ and radiation pressure efficiency factor χ (in the C.G.S.system) i.e., $q = 1 - \frac{5.6 \times 10^{-5}\chi}{a\rho}$. Assumption $q = constant$ is equivalent to neglecting fluctuation in the beam of solar radiation and the effect of solar radiation, the effect of the planet's shadow, obviously $q \leq 1$. Triangular equilibrium points are given by $U_x = 0, U_y = 0, z = 0, y \neq 0$, then we have

$$x_* = x_0 \left\{ 1 - \frac{nW_1\left[(1-\mu)\left(1 + \frac{5}{2}A_2\right) + \mu(1 - \frac{A_2}{2})\frac{\delta^2}{2}\right]}{3\mu(1-\mu)y_0 x_0} - \frac{\delta^2}{2}\frac{A_2}{x_0} \right\} \tag{4}$$

$$y_* = y_0 \left\{ 1 - \frac{nW_1\delta^2\left[2\mu - 1 - \mu(1 - \frac{3A_2}{2})\frac{\delta^2}{2} + 7(1-\mu)\frac{A_2}{2}\right]}{3\mu(1-\mu)y_0^3} - \frac{\delta^2\left(1 - \frac{\delta^2}{2}\right)A_2}{y_0^2} \right\}^{1/2} \tag{5}$$

where $x_0 = \frac{\delta^2}{2} - \mu$, $y_0 = \pm\delta\left(1 - \frac{\delta^2}{4}\right)^{1/2}$ and $\delta = q_1^{1/3}$, as in preprint Kushvah & Ishwar (2006)

3   Normalization of Hamiltonian

We used Whittakar (1965) mathod for the transformation of H_2 into normal form The Lagrangian function of the problem can be written as

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2) + n(x\dot{y} - \dot{x}y) + \frac{n^2}{2}(x^2 + y^2) + \frac{(1-\mu)q_1}{r_1} + \frac{\mu}{r_2} + \frac{\mu A_2}{2r_2^3} \tag{6}$$

$$+ W_1 \left\{ \frac{(x+\mu)\dot{x} + y\dot{y}}{2r_1^2} - n\arctan\frac{y}{(x+\mu)} \right\}$$

and the Hamiltonian is $H = -L + P_x \dot{x} + P_y \dot{y}$, where P_x, P_y are the momenta coordinates given by

$$P_x = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} = \dot{x} - ny + \frac{W_1}{2r_1^2}(x + \mu), \quad P_y = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{y}} = \dot{y} + nx + \frac{W_1}{2r_1^2}y$$

For simplicity we suppose $q_1 = 1 - \epsilon$, with $|\epsilon| << 1$ then coordinates of triangular equilibrium points can be written in the form

$$x = \frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{3} - \frac{A_2}{2} + \frac{A_2\epsilon}{3} - \frac{(9+\gamma)}{6\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{4\gamma\epsilon}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1 \tag{7}$$

$$y = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left\{1 - \frac{2\epsilon}{9} - \frac{A_2}{3} - \frac{2A_2\epsilon}{9} + \frac{(1+\gamma)}{9\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{4\gamma\epsilon}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right\} \tag{8}$$

where $\gamma = 1 - 2\mu$. We shift the origin to L_4. For that, we change $x \to x_* + x$ and $y \to y_* + y$. Let $a = x_* + \mu, b = y_*$ so that

$$a = \frac{1}{2}\left\{-\frac{2\epsilon}{3} - A_2 + \frac{2A_2\epsilon}{3} - \frac{(9+\gamma)}{3\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{8\gamma\epsilon}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right\} \tag{9}$$

$$b = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left\{1 - \frac{2\epsilon}{9} - \frac{A_2}{3} - \frac{2A_2\epsilon}{9} + \frac{(1+\gamma)}{9\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{4\gamma\epsilon}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right\} \tag{10}$$

Expanding L in power series of x and y, we get

$$L = L_0 + L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + \cdots \tag{11}$$

$$H = H_0 + H_1 + H_2 + H_3 + \cdots = -L + P_x\dot{x} + P_y\dot{y} \tag{12}$$

where $L_0, L_1, L_2, L_3 \ldots$ are

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 = {} & \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2\epsilon}{3} - \frac{\gamma\epsilon}{3} + \frac{3\gamma A_2}{4} - \frac{3A_2\epsilon}{2} - \gamma A_2 \\ & -\frac{\sqrt{3}nW_1}{4} + \frac{2\gamma}{3\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{n\epsilon W_1}{3\sqrt{3}} - \frac{23\epsilon nW_1}{54\sqrt{3}} - n\arctan\frac{b}{a} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 = {} & \dot{x}\left\{-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} - \frac{5A_2}{8\sqrt{3}} + \frac{7\epsilon A_2}{12\sqrt{3}} + \frac{4nW_1}{9} - \frac{1\gamma nW_1}{18}\right\} \\ & + \dot{y}\left\{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{3} - \frac{A_2}{8} + \frac{\epsilon A_2}{12\sqrt{3}} - \frac{nW_1}{6\sqrt{3}} + \frac{2n\epsilon nW_1}{3\sqrt{3}}\right\} \\ & - x\left\{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{9A_2}{8} + \frac{15\gamma A_2}{8} - \frac{35\epsilon A_2}{12} - \frac{29\gamma\epsilon A_2}{12}\right. \\ & \left. + \frac{3\sqrt{3}nW_1}{8} - \frac{2\gamma}{3\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{5\epsilon nW_1}{12\sqrt{3}} - \frac{7\gamma\epsilon nW_1}{4\sqrt{3}}\right\} \\ & - y\left\{\frac{15\sqrt{3}A_2}{2} + \frac{9\sqrt{3}\gamma A_2}{8} - 2\sqrt{3}\epsilon A_2 - 2\sqrt{3}\gamma\epsilon A_2 - \frac{nW_1}{8} + \gamma nW_1 - \frac{43\epsilon}{36}nW_1\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$$L_2 = \frac{(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2)}{2} + n(x\dot{y} - \dot{x}y) + \frac{n^2}{2}(x^2 + y^2) - Ex^2 - Fy^2 - Gxy \tag{15}$$

$$L_3 = -\frac{1}{3!}\left\{x^3T_1 + 3x^2yT_2 + 3xy^2T_3 + y^3T_4 + 6T_5\right\} \tag{16}$$

where

$$E = \frac{1}{16}\left\{2 - 6\epsilon - 3A_2 - \frac{31A_2\epsilon}{2} - \frac{(69+\gamma)}{6\sqrt{3}}nW_1 + \frac{2(307+75\gamma)\epsilon}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right.$$
$$\left. + \gamma\left\{2\epsilon + 12A_2 + \frac{A_2\epsilon}{3} + \frac{(199+17\gamma)}{6\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{2(226+99\gamma)\epsilon}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right\}\right\} \tag{17}$$

$$F = \frac{-1}{16}\left\{10 - 2\epsilon + 21A_2 - \frac{717A_2\epsilon}{18} - \frac{(67+19\gamma)}{6\sqrt{3}}nW_1 + \frac{2(413-39\gamma)\epsilon}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right.$$
$$\left. + \gamma\left\{6\epsilon - \frac{293A_2\epsilon}{18} + \frac{(187+27\gamma)}{6\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{4(247+3\gamma)\epsilon}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right\}\right\} \tag{18}$$

$$G = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{8}\left\{2\epsilon + 6A_2 - \frac{37A_2\epsilon}{2} - \frac{(13+\gamma)}{2\sqrt{3}}nW_1 + \frac{2(79-7\gamma)\epsilon}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right.$$
$$\left. - \gamma\left\{6\epsilon - \frac{\epsilon}{3} + 13A_2 - \frac{33A_2\epsilon}{2} + \frac{(11-\gamma)}{2\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{(186-\gamma)\epsilon}{9\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right\}\right\} \tag{19}$$

$$T_1 = \frac{3}{16}\left[\frac{16}{3}\epsilon + 6A_2 - \frac{979}{18}A_2\epsilon + \frac{(143+9\gamma)}{6\sqrt{3}}nW_1 + \frac{(459+376\gamma)}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right.$$
$$\left. + \gamma\left\{14 + \frac{4\epsilon}{3} + 25A_2 - \frac{1507}{18}A_2\epsilon - \frac{(215+29\gamma)}{6\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{2(1174+169\gamma)}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right\}\right] \tag{20}$$

$$T_2 = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{16}\left[14 - \frac{16}{3}\epsilon + \frac{A_2}{3} - \frac{367}{18}A_2\epsilon + \frac{115(1+\gamma)}{18\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{(959-136\gamma)}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right.$$
$$\left. + \gamma\left\{\frac{32\epsilon}{3} + 40A_2 - \frac{382}{9}A_2\epsilon + \frac{(511+53\gamma)}{6\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{(2519-24\gamma)}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right\}\right] \tag{21}$$

$$T_3 = \frac{-9}{16}\left[\frac{8}{3}\epsilon + \frac{203A_2}{6} - \frac{625}{54}A_2\epsilon - \frac{(105+15\gamma)}{18\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{(403-114\gamma)}{81\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right.$$
$$\left. + \gamma\left\{2 - \frac{4\epsilon}{9} + \frac{55A_2}{2} - \frac{797}{54}A_2\epsilon + \frac{(197+23\gamma)}{18\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{(211-32\gamma)}{81\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right\}\right] \tag{22}$$

$$T_4 = \frac{-9\sqrt{3}}{16}\left[2 - \frac{8}{3}\epsilon + \frac{23A_2}{3} - 44A_2\epsilon - \frac{(37+\gamma)}{18\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{(219+253\gamma)}{81\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right.$$
$$\left. + \gamma\left\{4\epsilon + \frac{88}{27}A_2\epsilon + \frac{(241+45\gamma)}{18\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{(1558-126\gamma)}{81\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right\}\right] \tag{23}$$

$$T_5 = \frac{W_1}{2(a^2+b^2)^3}\left[(a\dot{x}+b\dot{y})\left\{3(ax+by) - (bx-ay)^2\right\} - 2(x\dot{x}+y\dot{y})(ax+by)(a^2+b^2)\right] \tag{24}$$

The second order part H_2 of the corresponding Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H_2 = \frac{p_x^2 + p_y^2}{2} + n(yp_x - xp_y) + Ex^2 + F^2 + Gxy \tag{25}$$

To investigate the stability of the motion, as in Wittaker(1965), we consider the following set of linear equations in the variables x, y:

$$-\lambda P_x = \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial x} \qquad \lambda x = \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial P_x}$$

$$-\lambda P_y = \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial y} \qquad \lambda y = \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial P_y} \tag{26}$$
$$\text{i.e.} \quad AX = 0$$

4

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ P_x \\ P_y \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 2E & G & \lambda & -n \\ G & 2F & n & \lambda \\ -\lambda & n & 1 & 0 \\ -n & -\lambda & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{27}$$

Clearly $|A| = 0$ implies that the characteristic equation corresponding to Hamiltonian H_2 is given by

$$\lambda^4 + 2(E + F + n^2)\lambda^2 + 4EF - G^2 + n^4 - 2n^2(E + F) = 0 \tag{28}$$

This is characteristic equation whose discriminant is

$$D = 4(E + F + n^2)^2 - 4\{4EF - G^2 + n^4 - 2n^2(E + F)\} \tag{29}$$

Stability is assured only when $D > 0$. i.e

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \quad < \quad & \mu_{c_0} - 0.221895916277307669\epsilon + 2.1038871010983331 A_2 \\ + \quad & 0.493433373141671349\epsilon A_2 + 0.704139054372097028 nW_1 + 0.401154273957540929 n\epsilon W_1 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_{c_0} = 0.0385208965045513718$

When $D > 0$ the roots $\pm i\omega_1$ and $\pm i\omega_2$ (ω_1, ω_2 being the long/short -periodic frequencies) are related to each other as

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 \quad = \quad & 1 - \frac{\gamma\epsilon}{2} + \frac{3\gamma A_2}{2} + \frac{83\epsilon A_2}{12} + \frac{299\gamma\epsilon A_2}{144} - \frac{nW_1}{24\sqrt{3}} + \frac{5\gamma nW_1}{8\sqrt{3}} - \frac{53\epsilon nW_1}{54\sqrt{3}} \\
& - \frac{5\gamma^2 nW_1}{24\sqrt{3}} + \frac{173\gamma\epsilon nW_1}{54\sqrt{3}} - \frac{3\gamma^2\epsilon nW_1}{36\sqrt{3}}
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_1^2\omega_2^2 \quad = \quad & \frac{27}{16} - \frac{27\gamma^2}{16} + \frac{9\epsilon}{8} + \frac{9\gamma\epsilon}{8} - \frac{3\gamma^2\epsilon}{8} + \frac{117\gamma A_2}{16} - \frac{241\epsilon A_2}{32} + \frac{2515\gamma\epsilon A_2}{192} \\
& + \frac{35nW_1}{16\sqrt{3}} - \frac{55\sqrt{3}\gamma nW_1}{16} - \frac{5\sqrt{3}\gamma^2 nW_1}{4} - \frac{1277\epsilon nW_1}{288\sqrt{3}} + \frac{5021\gamma\epsilon nW_1}{288\sqrt{3}} + \frac{991\gamma^2\epsilon nW_1}{48\sqrt{3}}
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

$$\left(0 < \omega_2 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < \omega_1 < 1\right)$$

From (30)and (31) it may be noted that $\omega_j (j = 1, 2)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^2 \quad = \quad & 1 + \frac{4\epsilon}{9} - \frac{107\epsilon A_2}{27} + \frac{2\gamma\epsilon}{3} + \frac{1579\gamma\epsilon A_2}{324} - \frac{25nW_1}{27\sqrt{3}} - \frac{55\gamma nW_1}{9\sqrt{3}} + \frac{3809\epsilon nW_1}{486\sqrt{3}} + \frac{4961\gamma\epsilon nW_1}{486\sqrt{3}} \\
& + \left(-\frac{16}{27} + \frac{32\epsilon}{243} + \frac{208 A_2}{81} - \frac{8\gamma A_2}{27} - \frac{4868\epsilon A_2}{729} - \frac{563\gamma\epsilon A_2}{243}\right. \\
& \left. + \frac{296nW_1}{243\sqrt{3}} - \frac{10\gamma nW_1}{27\sqrt{3}} - \frac{15892\epsilon nW_1}{2187\sqrt{3}} - \frac{1864\gamma\epsilon nW_1}{729\sqrt{3}}\right)\omega_j^2 \\
& + \left(\frac{16}{27} - \frac{32\epsilon}{243} - \frac{208 A_2}{81} - \frac{1880\epsilon A_2}{729} - \frac{2720nW_1}{2187\sqrt{3}} + \frac{49552\epsilon nW_1}{6561\sqrt{3}} - \frac{80\gamma\epsilon nW_1}{2187\sqrt{3}}\right)\omega_j^4
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Alternatively, it can also be seen that if $u = \omega_1\omega_2$, then equation (31) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^2 \quad = \quad & 1 + \frac{4\epsilon}{9} - \frac{107\epsilon A_2}{27} - \frac{25nW_1}{27\sqrt{3}} + \frac{3809\epsilon nW_1}{486\sqrt{3}} + \gamma\left(\frac{2\epsilon}{3} + \frac{1579\epsilon A_2}{324} - \frac{55\gamma nW_1}{9\sqrt{3}} + \frac{4961\gamma\epsilon nW_1}{486\sqrt{3}}\right) \\
& + \left(-\frac{16}{27} + \frac{32\epsilon}{243} + \frac{208 A_2}{81} - \frac{1880\epsilon A_2}{729} + \frac{320nW_1}{243\sqrt{3}} - \frac{15856\epsilon nW_1}{2187\sqrt{3}}\right)u^2
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

5

Following the method for reducing H_2 to the normal form, as in Whittaker(1965),use the transformation

$$X = JT \quad \text{where} \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ p_x \\ p_y \end{bmatrix}, J = [J_{ij}]_{1 \le i \le j \le 4}, \ T = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{34}$$

$$P_i = (2I_i\omega_i)^{1/2}\cos\phi_i, \quad Q_i = (\frac{2I_i}{\omega_i})^{1/2}\sin\phi_i, \quad (i = 1, 2) \tag{35}$$

The transformation changes the second order part of the Hamiltonian into the normal form

$$H_2 = \omega_1 I_1 - \omega_2 I_2 \tag{36}$$

The general solution of the corresponding equations of motion are

$$I_i = \text{const.}, \quad \phi_i = \pm\omega_i + \text{const}, \quad (i = 1, 2) \tag{37}$$

If the oscillations about L_4 are exactly linear, the equation(37) represent the integrals of motion and the corresponding orbits will bi given by

$$x = J_{13}\sqrt{2\omega_1 I_1}\cos\phi_1 + J_{14}\sqrt{2\omega_2 I_2}\cos\phi_2 \tag{38}$$

$$y = J_{21}\sqrt{\frac{2I_1}{\omega_1}}\sin\phi_1 + J_{22}\sqrt{\frac{2I_2}{\omega_2}}\sin\phi_2 + J_{23}\sqrt{2I_1}\omega_1\cos\phi_1 + J_{24}\sqrt{2I_2}\omega_2\sin\phi_2 \tag{39}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{13} &= \frac{l_1}{2\omega_1 k_1}\left\{1 - \frac{1}{2l_1^2}\left[\epsilon + \frac{45A_2}{2} - \frac{717A_2\epsilon}{36} + \frac{(67+19\gamma)}{12\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{(431-3\gamma)}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]\right.\\
&\quad + \frac{\gamma}{2l_1^2}\left[3\epsilon - \frac{29A_2}{36} - \frac{(187+27\gamma)}{12\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{2(247+3\gamma)}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]\\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2k_1^2}\left[\frac{\epsilon}{2} - 3A_2 - \frac{73A_2\epsilon}{24} + \frac{(1-9\gamma)}{24\sqrt{3}}nW_1 + \frac{(53-39\gamma)}{54\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]\\
&\quad - \frac{\gamma}{4k_1^2}\left[\epsilon - 3A_2 - \frac{299A_2\epsilon}{72} - \frac{(6-5\gamma)}{12\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{(266-93\gamma)}{54\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]\\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\epsilon}{4l_1^2 k_1^2}\left[\frac{3A_2}{4} + \frac{(33+14\gamma)}{12\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right] + \frac{\gamma\epsilon}{8l_1^2 k_1^2}\left[\frac{347A_2}{36} - \frac{(43-8\gamma)}{4\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right]\right\} \tag{40}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{14} &= \frac{l_2}{2\omega_2 k_2}\left\{1 - \frac{1}{2l_2^2}\left[\epsilon + \frac{45A_2}{2} - \frac{717A_2\epsilon}{36} + \frac{(67+19\gamma)}{12\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{(431-3\gamma)}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]\right.\\
&\quad - \frac{\gamma}{2l_2^2}\left[3\epsilon - \frac{293A_2}{36} + \frac{(187+27\gamma)}{12\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{2(247+3\gamma)}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]\\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2k_2^2}\left[\frac{\epsilon}{2} - 3A_2 - \frac{73A_2\epsilon}{24} + \frac{(1-9\gamma)}{24\sqrt{3}}nW_1 + \frac{(53-39\gamma)}{54\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]\\
&\quad + \frac{\gamma}{2k_2^2}\left[\epsilon - 3A_2 - \frac{299A_2\epsilon}{72} - \frac{(6-5\gamma)}{12\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{(268-9\gamma)}{54\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]\\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\epsilon}{4l_2^2 k_2^2}\left[\frac{33A_2}{4} + \frac{(1643-93\gamma)}{216\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right] + \frac{\gamma\epsilon}{4l_2^2 k_2^2}\left[\frac{737A_2}{72} - \frac{(13+2\gamma)}{\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right]\right\} \tag{41}
\end{aligned}$$

$$J_{21} = -\frac{4n\omega_1}{l_1 k_1}\left\{1 + \frac{1}{2l_1^2}\left[\epsilon + \frac{45A_2}{2} - \frac{717A_2\epsilon}{36} + \frac{(67+19\gamma)}{12\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{(413-3\gamma)}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]\right.$$

$$-\frac{\gamma}{2l_1^2}\left[3\epsilon - \frac{293A_2}{36} + \frac{(187+27\gamma)}{12\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{2(247+3\gamma)}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]$$

$$-\frac{1}{2k_1^2}\left[\frac{\epsilon}{2} - 3A_2 - \frac{73A_2\epsilon}{24} + \frac{(1-9\gamma)}{24\sqrt{3}}nW_1 + \frac{(53-39\gamma)}{54\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]$$

$$-\frac{\gamma}{4k_1^2}\left[\epsilon - 3A_2 - \frac{299A_2\epsilon}{72} - \frac{(6-5\gamma)}{12\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{(268-93\gamma)}{54\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]$$

$$\left.+\frac{\epsilon}{8l_1^2 k_1^2}\left[\frac{33A_2}{4} + \frac{(68-10\gamma)}{24\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right] + \frac{\gamma\epsilon}{8l_1^2 k_1^2}\left[\frac{242A_2}{9} + \frac{(43-8\gamma)}{4\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right]\right\} \tag{42}$$

$$J_{22} = \frac{4n\omega_2}{l_2 k_2}\left\{1 + \frac{1}{2l_2^2}\left[\epsilon + \frac{45A_2}{2} - \frac{717A_2\epsilon}{36} + \frac{(67+19\gamma)}{12\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{(413-3\gamma)}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]\right.$$

$$-\frac{\gamma}{2l_2^2}\left[3\epsilon - \frac{293A_2}{36} + \frac{(187+27\gamma)}{12\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{2(247+3\gamma)}{27\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]$$

$$+\frac{1}{2k_2^2}\left[\frac{\epsilon}{2} - 3A_2 - \frac{73A_2\epsilon}{24} + \frac{(1-9\gamma)}{24\sqrt{3}}nW_1 + \frac{(53-39\gamma)}{54\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]$$

$$-\frac{\gamma}{4k_2^2}\left[\epsilon - 3A_2 - \frac{299A_2\epsilon}{72} - \frac{(6-5\gamma)}{12\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{(268-93\gamma)}{54\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]$$

$$\left.+\frac{\epsilon}{4l_2^2 k_2^2}\left[\frac{33A_2}{4} + \frac{(34+5\gamma)}{12\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right] + \frac{\gamma\epsilon}{8l_2^2 k_2^2}\left[\frac{75A_2}{2} + \frac{(43-8\gamma)}{4\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right]\right\} \tag{43}$$

$$J_{23} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4\omega_1 l_1 k_1}\left\{2\epsilon + 6A_2 + \frac{37A_2\epsilon}{2} - \frac{(13+\gamma)}{2\sqrt{3}}nW_1 + \frac{2(79-7\gamma)}{9\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right.$$

$$-\gamma\left[6 + \frac{2\epsilon}{3} + 13A_2 - \frac{33A_2\epsilon}{2} + \frac{(11-\gamma)}{2\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{(186-\gamma)}{9\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]$$

$$+\frac{1}{2l_1^2}\left[51A_2 + \frac{(14+8\gamma)}{3\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right] - \frac{\epsilon}{k_1^2}\left[3A_2 + \frac{(19+6\gamma)}{6\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right]$$

$$-\frac{\gamma}{2l_1^2}\left[6\epsilon + 135A_2 - \frac{808A_2\epsilon}{9} - \frac{(67+19\gamma)}{2\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{(755+19\gamma)}{9\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]$$

$$-\frac{\gamma}{2k_1^2}\left[3\epsilon - 18A_2 - \frac{55A_2\epsilon}{4} - \frac{(1-9\gamma)}{4\sqrt{3}}nW_1 + \frac{(923-60\gamma)}{12\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]$$

$$\left.+\frac{\gamma\epsilon}{8l_1^2 k_1^2}\left[\frac{9A_2}{2} + \frac{(34-5\gamma)}{2\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right]\right\} \tag{44}$$

7

$$J_{24} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4\omega_2 l_2 k_2} \left\{ 2\epsilon + 6A_2 + \frac{37A_2\epsilon}{2} - \frac{(13+\gamma)}{2\sqrt{3}}nW_1 + \frac{2(79-7\gamma)}{9\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon \right.$$

$$-\gamma\left[6 + \frac{2\epsilon}{3} + 13A_2 - \frac{33A_2\epsilon}{2} + \frac{(11-\gamma)}{2\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{(186-\gamma)}{9\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]$$

$$-\frac{1}{2l_2^2}\left[51A_2 + \frac{(14+8\gamma)}{3\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right] - \frac{\epsilon}{k_2^2}\left[3A_2 + \frac{(19+6\gamma)}{6\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right]$$

$$-\frac{\gamma}{2l_2^2}\left[6\epsilon + 135A_2 - \frac{808A_2\epsilon}{9} - \frac{(67+19\gamma)}{2\sqrt{3}}nW_1 - \frac{(755+19\gamma)}{9\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]$$

$$-\frac{\gamma}{2k_1^2}\left[3\epsilon - 18A_2 - \frac{55A_2\epsilon}{4} - \frac{(1-9\gamma)}{4\sqrt{3}}nW_1 + \frac{(923-60\gamma)}{12\sqrt{3}}nW_1\epsilon\right]$$

$$\left. -\frac{\gamma\epsilon}{4l_1^2 k_1^2}\left[\frac{99A_2}{2} + \frac{(34-5\gamma)}{2\sqrt{3}}nW_1\right] \right\} \tag{45}$$

with $l_j^2 = 4\omega_j^2 + 9, (j = 1, 2)$ and $k_1^2 = 2\omega_1^2 - 1, k_2^2 = -2\omega_2^2 + 1$.

4 Conclusion

Using Wittaker(1965) method we find that the second order part H_2 of the Hamiltonian is transformed into the normal form $H_2 = \omega_1 I_1 - \omega_2 I_2$.

References

[1] Arnold, V. I. (1961): On the stability of positions of equilibrium of a Hamiltonian system of ordinary differential equations in the general elliptic case. **Sovit. Math. Dokl. 2**, 247.

[2] Bhatnagar, K. B. and Hallan, P. P. (1983) :The effect of perturbation in coriolis and centrifugal forces on the non-linear stability of equilibrium points in the restricted problem of three bodies. **Celest. Mech. & Dyn. Astr.Vol. 30**, 97.

[3] Chernikov Yu.A.(1970): The photogravitational restricted three body problem. Sov. Astr. AJ. **14(1),** 176-181.

[4] Colombo G.D. Lautman and Shapiru I.I.(1996): The Earth's dust belt:Fact or fiction? 2.Gravitational focusing and Jacobi capture. J. Geophys. Res. **71,** 5705-5717.

[5] Deprit, A.and Deprit-Bartholomé,A.(1967): Stability of the triangular Lagrangian points, **AJ, Vol. 72**, 173-179

[6] Ishwar B.(1997): Nonlinear stability in the generalized restricted three body problem. Celst. Mech. and Dyn. Astron. **65,** 253-289.

[7] Kolmogorov A. N. (1954): On the conservation of conditionally periodic motions under small perturbation of the Hamiltonian, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR. 98, 469.

[8] Kushvah B.S. and Ishwar B.(2004):Triangular equilibrium points in the generalized photogravitational restricted three body problem with Poynting-Robertson drag., Review Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.,**12, (1 & 2)**, 109-114.

[9] Kushvah B.S., Sharma J.P. and Ishwar B.(2006):First order normalization in generalized photogravitational restricted three body problem with Poynting-Robertson drag., Review Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.,**14, (1 & 2)**,2006.

[10] Kushvah B.S. and Ishwar B.(2006): Linear stability of triangular equilibrium points in the generalized photogravitational restricted three body problem with Poynting-Robertson drag. Journal of Dynamical Systems & Geometric Theories Vol. 4, Number 1 (2006).

[11] Leontovic,An.M.(1962): On the stability of the Lagrange periodic solutions for the reduced problem of three body. Soviet Math. Dokl. **3**,425-429

[12] Liapunov, A.M.(1956):A general problem of stability of motion,Acad. Sc. USSR

[13] Liou J.C.,Zook H.A. and Jackson A.A (1995): Radiation pressure, Poynting-Robertson drag and solar wind drag in the restricted three body problem. Icarus **116,** 186-201

[14] Mishra,P.and Ishwar,B(1995):Second-Order Normalization in the Generalized Restricted Problem of Three Bodies, Smaller Primary Being an Oblate Spheroid,Astron.J **110,N0. 4**,1901-1904

[15] Moser J. (1962): On invariant curves of area - preserving mappings of an annulus., Nach. Akad. Wiss., Gottingen, Math. Phys. **Kl. II, 1,** 1.

[16] Murray C.D.(1994): Dynamical effect of drag in the circular restricted three body problem 1. Location and stability of the Lagrangian equilibrium points . Icarus **112,** 465-484.

[17] Robertson H.P.(1937): Dynamical effects of radiation in the solar system. Mon.Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **97,** 423-438.

[18] Schuerman D.W.(1980):The restricted three body problem including radiation pressure. Astrophys. J. **238(1),** 337-342.

[19] Singh K. T., Kushvah B.S. and Ishwar B.(2004):Stability triangular equilibrium points in Robe's the generalized restricted three body problem.Proc. of Math.Soc.B.H.U.**Vol. 20**,95-100.

[20] Subba Rao, P. V. and Sharma, R. K. (1997): Effect of oblateness on the non-linear stability of L4 in the restricted three body problem.Celest. Mech. & Dyn. Astr., **65**, 291.

[21] Tripathi Deepak Kumar and Ishwar B.(2005): Linear stability of triangular equilibrium points in the photogravitational restricted three body problem with Poynting-Robertson drag, News Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., **28, (1 & 3)**.

[22] Whittaker E. T. (1965): A treatise on the analytical dynamics of particles and rigid bodies. Cambridge University Press, London, pp. 427 - 430.